

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Secretary
222



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

AUG 12 1993

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

Honorable Bill Emerson
House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

92-263

8310 MEA
9302962

RECEIVED

Dear Congressman Emerson:

Thank you for your letter expressing concern about how our new cable regulations may affect small cable systems.

AUG 16 1993

As you know, our rate regulations are currently under reconsideration. Accordingly, your comments are being made part of the record of that proceeding (MM Docket No. ~~92-263~~).
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In addition, I wish to reiterate my own concerns about the regulatory impact of the 1992 Cable Act on small cable systems, especially those not affiliated with any MSO. I have directed the staff to explore a number of alternatives designed to alleviate the burdens that would otherwise be imposed on small systems to insure they remain a viable part of the telecommunications infrastructure. I assure you that the Commission is making every effort to minimize any negative repercussions for small operators resulting from re-regulation, within the bounds of the discretion provided to us by the Act itself.

As to your question regarding the customer service obligations of small cable systems, the specific issue of office locations is pending in our reconsideration of those rules and your comments will be made a part of that record as well (MM Docket No. 92-263). We can clarify, however, that there is no FCC requirement to maintain an office in each service area community. The relevant provision of our rules, 47 C.F.R. § 76.309(4)(c)(v), setting up a federal standard that local franchising authorities may exceed if they wish, requires only that a "customer service center" and "bill payment locations" be "conveniently located." A customer service center could be an equipment drop-off location open at least during normal business hours; a bill payment location could be a mail receptacle. A franchising authority may, however, in its discretion, require a cable operator to maintain an office in the service area community.

I assure you that your comments will be carefully weighed in our reconsideration proceedings.

Sincerely,

James H. Quello

James H. Quello
Chairman

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

1 copy

BILL F. VERNON
MEMBER OF CONGRESS
8TH DISTRICT, MISSOURI

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
AGRICULTURE

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION

SELECT COMMITTEE ON HUNGER

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-2508

July 14, 1993

OFFICE:
SUITE 2484
RAYBURN BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-2508
202/225-4404

THE FEDERAL BUILDING
339 BROADWAY
CAPE GIRARDEAU, MO 63701
314/235-0101

612 PINE
ROLLA, MO 65401
314/364-2455

MMB
CATV-RATES

PV
JHG

2962

The Honorable James H. Quello
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Following up your statements regarding the plight of small cable operators in complying with the 1992 Cable Act, I am writing to urge the Commission to take actions to alleviate unnecessary burdens on these operators. I believe that failing to act will seriously impede the ability of small cable systems to provide quality service to subscribers.

The Commission recognizes that Section 623(i) of the Cable Act "requires that the Commission develop and prescribe cable rate regulations that reduce the administrative burdens and cost of compliance for cable systems that have 1,000 or fewer subscribers." Moreover, the public interest standard authorizes exceptions to the general rule where justified. I appreciate your commitment to work to alleviate small system burdens. Specifically, I would urge the Commission:

To permit small operators to justify their current rates based on a simplified net income analysis. A simple comparison of total system revenues to operating expenses, depreciation and interest expenses for some specified prior period would demonstrate whether the system's current rates require any further examination. A net income analysis would be much simpler to calculate and apply than the benchmark approach.

To permit small operators to increase rates to the benchmark cap. The Commission has found that rates at or below the national cap are "reasonable." By affording small operators presently charging rates below the cap the option to increase rates to the cap, these systems will retain the flexibility needed to generate necessary capital.

To authorize small operators to base rates on the bundling of service and equipment charges. The requirement that operators "back out" equipment costs based on "actual cost" from the benchmark rates is a particularly onerous procedural requirement. The Commission should adopt a mechanism that does not force small operators to engage in these calculations.

The Honorable James H. Quello
July 14, 1993
Page Two


To allow small operators to pass-through rebuild costs. Small operators are generally located in rural areas. Congress and the Commission have long advocated special regulatory treatment to make state-of-the-art communications technology available to rural areas. Permitting small operators to pass-through rebuild costs will increase the chances that rural subscribers promptly gain the benefits of state-of-the-art technology.

To clarify that the customer service requirements that do not require small operators to maintain local offices in each service area community. The local office rule will prove exceptionally onerous for many small operators. Under the rule, a system serving several communities of perhaps 100 subscribers would be obligated to bear the costs of local offices in each community. Any benefits would be clearly outweighed by the costs.

To commence a rulemaking addressing small system regulatory concerns. The Commission should comprehensively examine, in a separate proceeding, the impact of its regulations on small operators. This rulemaking should identify regulations which, when applied to small operators, are presumptively more harmful than beneficial. It should also discuss alternatives to benchmark regulations for small systems such as system profitability or level of net income. Small operators should be permitted to seek waivers of the identified regulations, with the burden placed on those who favor application of these regulations to the small operators.

I believe that taking these steps will enable small operators to serve their subscribers efficiently, while simultaneously maintaining the Cable Act's consumer protections. Thank you for your consideration of these matters.

Sincerely,



BILL EMERSON
Member of Congress

BE/dl